

Site-Selection in Single-Molecule Junction for Highly Reproducible Molecular Electronics

Satoshi Kaneko,[†] Daigo Murai,[†] Santiago Marqués-González,[†] Hisao Nakamura,^{*,‡} Yuki Komoto,[†] Shintaro Fujii,[†] Tomoaki Nishino,[†] Katsuyoshi Ikeda,[§] Kazuhito Tsukagoshi,^{*,||} and Manabu Kiguchi^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 W4-10 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

[‡]National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Central 2, Umezono 1-1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8568, Japan

[§]Department of Mechanical Engineering, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Gokiso, Showa, Nagoya 466-8555, Japan

^{II}WPI Center for Materials Nanoarchitechtonics (WPI-MANA), National Institute for Materials Science, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Adsorption sites of molecules critically determine the electric/photonic properties and the stability of heterogeneous molecule-metal interfaces. Then, selectivity of adsorption site is essential for development of the fields including organic electronics, catalysis, and biology. However, due to current technical limitations, site-selectivity, i.e., precise determination of the molecular adsorption site, remains a major challenge because of difficulty in precise selection of meaningful one among the sites. We have succeeded the single site-selection at a single-molecule junction by performing newly developed hybrid technique: simultaneous characterization of surface enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) and



current-voltage (I-V) measurements. The I-V response of 1,4-benzenedithiol junctions reveals the existence of three metastable states arising from different adsorption sites. Notably, correlated SERS measurements show selectivity toward one of the adsorption sites: "bridge sites". This site-selectivity represents an essential step toward the reliable integration of individual molecules on metallic surfaces. Furthermore, the hybrid spectro-electric technique reveals the dependence of the SERS intensity on the strength of the molecule-metal interaction, showing the interdependence between the optical and electronic properties in single-molecule junctions.

INTRODUCTION

Molecular adsorption sites on the surfaces of metallic and semiconductor materials often govern crucial charge and mass transfer processes at the interface.¹⁻³ Consequently, a significant portion of the scientific community has recently turned its attention to the role of molecular adsorption sites in electronics, sensors, energy harvesting and storage, catalysis, biochemistry, and medicine.⁴⁻⁷ For molecular electronics, where individual molecules are used to mimic conventional electronic components, molecular adsorption sites have a great impact in the overall performance of a molecular component.⁸⁻¹⁴ Thanks to the multidisciplinary effort, some impressive milestones have been reached in only four decades since the advent of the field: visualization and manipulation of individual molecules^{15–22} and the development of molecular systems with advanced electronic functionality, including diodes, switches, and memories.^{8,23–27} However, ungoverned factors like the molecular adsorption site are largely responsible for the characteristic variability in the electrical response of

individual molecules,^{9,10} which ultimately hinders the development of the molecular electronics. Hence, governing the molecular adsorption site, i.e., site-selectivity, is the key to full characterization of the molecule–metal interface and attack of the significant variability issues. In that sense, single-molecule studies in which an individual molecule is wired between two metallic electrodes represent an elegant and effective approach for the disentanglement of the factors contributing to the averaged response arising from the multiple adsorption sites.

In this paper, we have succeeded in the site-selection of a 1,4benzenedithiol (BDT) single-molecule junction by simultaneous surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS)²⁸⁻³³ and current-voltage $(I-V)^{34-36}$ measurements, at room temperature. SERS is a highly sensitive technique, and a significant number of single-molecule studies have been reported in recent years.³⁷⁻⁴¹ In the single-molecular junction, the strong electric

Received: November 4, 2015 Published: January 5, 2016



Figure 1. (a) Schematic of original hybrid spectro-electric measurement setup used in this work. The nanogap detail shows a BDT molecule bridging the gap between the two Au electrodes. An objective lens with 50× magnification was used to focus the near-infrared excitation laser ($\lambda_{ex} = 785$ nm, 70 mW) onto the Au nanojunction. Raman spectra were detected using a Raman microprobe spectrometer while simultaneous electrical measurements were performed using a programmable picoammeter. Both systems were synchronized and controlled by a custom data flow program. (b) Typical current–voltage and spectroscopic response obtained for a single molecule suspended between the Au electrodes. (c) Colored scanning electron microscope image of a freestanding Au nanojunction fabricated via electron-beam lithography, lift-off processes, and isotropic reactive ion etching with O₂ plasma. Typical span lengths of the metallic electrode are ~2 μ m.



Figure 2. (a) Three-dimensional representation of the temporal evolution of SERS and conductance measurements upon rupture of the Au contact. Red color corresponds to more intense areas. Three distinct regions can be distinguished: unbroken metallic contact (I), featuring conductance values over 1 G_0 with weak background SERS signals; the single-molecule regime (II), marked by the presence of a conductance step in the region of $10^{-2} G_0$ and a large enhancement of the SERS signals corresponding to the ν_{6a} , ν_1 , and ν_{8a} vibrational modes of BDT; and the broken contact regime (III), characterized by a conductance drop below $10^{-4} G_0$ and a loss of the marked SERS intensity. The SERS spectrum of the initial state (unbroken metallic contact) was subtracted from the following SERS spectra. (b) Raman spectra extracted from the three-dimensional plot corresponding to the vibrational modes of BDT molecule regime (II), which represents the vibrational modes of BDT molecules bonded to Au through one (adsorbed-BDT) and both molecular termini (wired-BDT). (c) *I–V* profiles measured simultaneously during the collection of each SERS spectra, showing the characteristic response of each regime: steep linear *I–V* response (II), nonlinear response (II), flat *I–V* response (III).

field is formed between metal nanogap, which enhances the intensity of Raman scattering, and Raman signal from a single molecule bridging between metal electrodes is selectively observed. SERS is the appropriate optical technique to study a single-molecular junction. Meanwhile, the I-V measurements provide time-resolved information on the metal-molecule contact and orbital alignment.^{35,36} In this work, the I-V measurements revealed the coexistence of three metastable states arising from different adsorption sites. Our newly

developed hybrid spectro-electric technique provides a deeper insight on the structural and electronic details of a singlemolecule junction. This novel approach provides valuable information on the number of molecules bridging the electrode nanogap, chemical identification, molecular adsorption site, strength of the molecule-metal interaction, and orbital alignment. Furthermore, this technique reveals that the enhancement factor of SERS follows a power law dependence



Figure 3. (a) Bidimensional I-V histogram summarizing the I-V response of 203 single-molecule BDT junctions. The three most probable responses were fitted to a single-level tunneling transport model (green solid line). Coupling values (Γ) of 0.14, 0.052, and 0.014 eV were obtained for the high (H), medium (M), and low (L) profiles, respectively. (b) Statistical distribution of Γ obtained from the individual fitting of 203 single-molecule I-V responses and Gaussian fitting (black). Three most probable values are observed at 0.14 (H), 0.052 (M), and 0.014 eV (L), arising from bridge, hollow, and top molecular adsorption sites, respectively. Orange counts, centered on H, correspond to ν_{8a} -active samples featuring over 10 CPS. (c) Single-molecule SERS spectra of BDT showing the intensity enhancement as a function of Γ . From bottom to top, $\Gamma = 0.010, 0.019, 0.044, 0.073, 0.097, 0.12, 0.13, 0.13, and 0.17 eV. (d) Correlation between the average intensity of the SERS signal as a function of <math>\Gamma$ on a log–log plot. The plot includes averaged data from 96 ν_1 -and ν_8 -active samples. The solid line corresponds to the linear least-squares fitting.

on the strength of the molecule-metal interaction, supported by theoretical calculations.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Mechanically controllable break-junction (MCBJ) substrates feature a freestanding Au nanojunction and were prepared through a series of conventional nanofabrication techniques.²⁸ An insulating SiO₂ layer was located between the phosphor bronze and Au electrodes to limit substrate fluorescence (Figure 1). Molecular junctions were prepared by depositing a drop of a 1,4-benzenedithiol (BDT) solution (1 mM in EtOH) onto the unbroken Au junction, allowing the analyte to selfassemble on the Au surface via thiol anchoring group of a Au-sulfur bond. The Au nanojunction was stretched and eventually broken by gradually bending the substrate using a piezoelectrically controlled push-rod. Although the BDT single-molecule junction has been widely studied,^{11,42} the adsorption site of molecule was uncontrollable because possible adsorption sites randomly appear in the junction in the conventional junction preparation. All measurements were performed at room temperature. Further details on the experimental setup and data acquisition protocols are included in the Supporting Information, S1 and S2.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Upon the bending of the MCBJ substrate in the presence of BDT molecules, three distinct phases (I, II, III) are typically observed in the hybrid spectro-electric data (Figure 2 and Figure S5). Initially, conductance values greater than the fundamental quantum of conductance ($G_0 = 2e^2/h$) are observed for the unbroken Au contact (Figure 2aI). Conductance values of approximately 1 G_0 indicate the formation of an atomic Au contact.⁴³⁻⁴⁵ At this stage, the SERS spectra features a number of weak background signals,

and the I-V measurements exhibit a steep linear response characteristic of a metallic contact (Figure 2a,cI). Further bending of the MCBJ substrate leads to a sudden conductance drop, associated with the rupture of the Au junction (Figure 2aII). At this point, a conductance step is typically observed at approximately 10^{-2} G₀. This value is in good agreement with the previously reported conductance values of a BDT singlemolecule junction,⁴² indicating the presence of a single BDT molecule suspended across the electrode nanogap. Here, a marked enhancement of the SERS intensity and the nonlinear I-V response characteristic of molecular charge transport are registered (Figure 2b,cII). Finally, rupture of the molecular junction leads to a second conductance drop ($<10^{-4}$ G₀) accompanied by a loss of the marked SERS enhancement and a flat I-V response (Figure 2a-cIII). This process was repeated thousands of times until a statistically relevant data set was obtained, and the appearance of the marked SERS enhancement was closely related to the formation of the singlemolecule junction, with conductance values of approximately 0.02 G_0 (Figure S6). No significant spectral change was observed upon changing the working voltage bias in the range 0-200 mV (Figure S7a). In addition, the conductance profile of BDT was not significantly affected by light irradiation (Figure S7b).

The SERS spectra observed in phases I and III, corresponding to the metallic contact and the broken contact, showed three distinct Raman bands, which can be assigned to the deformation-coupled C–S stretching mode (~325 cm⁻¹, ν_{6a}), a ring breathing mode (~1065 cm⁻¹, ν_1), and a C=C stretching mode (~1558 cm⁻¹, ν_{8a}) (Figure S8b and Table S1).

Article



Figure 4. (a) Transition probability from the HOMO to the metal unoccupied state is enhanced with an increase in the strength of the moleculemetal interaction. The discrete molecular level is broadened by the interaction, and strong molecule-metal coupling (Γ) leads to the high conductance of the single-molecule junction. (b) Contour plot of the intensity of the SERS signal of the single-molecule junction as a function of Γ and MO energy level (with $E_F = 0$ eV). The incident photon, electron-vibron coupling strength, and CT dipole constant are set to 1.6, 2.0, 2.0, respectively. The blue dotted line marks the -1.2 eV^{50} MO energy cross section, corresponding to single-molecule BDT junction. (c) The intensity of the SERS signal (I_S) as a function of Γ on a log-log plot. The linear fitting corresponds to a power law relationship $I_S \propto \Gamma^{1.4}$.

The peak positions of these modes indicate that the BDT molecules were adsorbed on Au with one of two anchors (adsorbed-BDT). In phase II, i.e., the single-molecule regime, two additional peaks are observed at approximately 1040 and 1545 cm⁻¹, which can be ascribed to the ν_1 and ν_{8a} modes of a BDT molecule bound to Au at both ends (wired-BDT), respectively. The SERS signals in phase II are emitted from a BDT single-molecule junction, whereas the common signals in all phases are from a number of BDTs adsorbed in the vicinity of the nanojunction. The single-molecule origin is also supported by the characteristic variability of SERS with time and within samples. Thermal motion induces fluctuations on the local molecular environment causing variations on the energy and intensity of the vibrational modes. While this effect is generally averaged in the bulk, it gives rise to pronounced variations in peak position and intensity in single-molecule spectroscopy.⁴⁶ In the present study, the vibrational energy fluctuation is found be under 20 cm^{-1} , which is a 2-fold increase with respect to the variability observed in the vibrational spectra of self-assembled monolayers (SAM) of BDT on Au.

The I-V curves in phase II observed in 203 measurements are overplotted in Figure 3a, showing the three statistically high-probable nonlinear curves. The strength of electronic coupling across the molecule-metal interface (Γ) can be obtained by fitting the I-V responses to a single-level tunneling transport model (Figures S9–11).³⁶ The statistical distribution of strength Γ is plotted in Figure 3b, indicating the three strongest Γ 's: high (0.14 eV, denoted as H), medium (0.052 eV, M), and low (0.014 eV, L). The observed conductance values are 0.02, 3×10^{-3} , and $3 \times 10^{-4} G_0$ for H, M, and L, respectively, which are within range of 0.0001–0.1 G_0 . The above three coupling strength Γ 's can be understood by difference in atomic configuration of molecules at the metalmolecule interface.¹¹ Trends in the electronic coupling Γ as well as the electronic conductance of the three states are well-reproduced in the theoretical calculations (a detailed discussion is in Supporting Information, S6). On the basis of theoretical calculations of the BDT-adsorption-site on Au electrodes,⁴⁷ the experimentally observed H, M, and L states are assigned to the bridge, hollow, and top adsorption site geometries, respectively. Stronger Au–S interaction in the BDT junction can be achieved by bonding between $p_{x,y}$ of the S atom and $d_{xz,xy}$ of the Au atom at the bridge and hollow sites with larger coordination numbers. On the contrary, the inert gas adsorption system where bonding between p_z of the S atom and d_z^2 of the Au atom on a top site with low coordination number dominates the metal–gas interaction.

Orange counts in Figure 3b correspond to Γ values obtained from ν_{8} -active samples, i.e., samples for which the ν_{8} mode displays over 10 counts per second. The counts were found to concentrate in the $\Gamma > 0.1$ eV region, corresponding to the H state (bridge site). In other words, this technique is able to selectively detect BDT molecules occupying bridge sites (Figure 3b and Figure S12). Moreover, the SERS intensity of the Raman bands was found to increase with Γ (Figure 3c). Figure 3d shows the correlation between the intensity of the SERS signal ($I_{\rm S}$) and Γ on a log–log plot. The observed distribution clearly corresponds to a power law relationship, with $I_{\rm S} \propto \Gamma^{2.1}$ and $\Gamma^{0.7}$ for $\nu_{\rm D}$ and ν_{8a} , respectively. To our knowledge, this is the first *in situ* study of the correlation between the optical and electronic properties in single-molecule junctions.

The SERS signals gain intensity from two independent contributions: electromagnetic (EM) and chemical (CM) effects.^{29,30} The EM effect, which is the major contributing factor, originates from local field enhancement accompanied by

the excitation of localized surface plasmon resonances on metallic nanostructures. One of the main sources for the CM effect are charge transfer resonances taking place between metal states near the Fermi level and molecular electronic states. The theoretically expected maximum enhancement factors have been reported to be 10¹⁰ for EM and 10³ for CM.²⁹ With consideration of the small Raman scattering cross sections of an individual molecule, the enhancement factor on the order of 10¹² is needed to achieve single-molecule detection in SERS.³⁸ That is, both EM and CM contributions are required for singlemolecule SERS. Thus, in the present system, the observed single-molecule SERS signals of wired-BDT should benefit from both EM and CM. In contrast, the SERS signals of adsorbed BDT presumably originate from a number of molecules near the nanojunction where EM enhancement is expected.

When analyzing the charge transfer (CT) mechanism in SERS, two factors have to be taken in consideration, i.e., energy level and Γ of the molecular orbital (MO), where its energy is defined as the value relative to the Fermi energy of the electrodes $(E_{\rm F})$.^{48,49} In the single-molecule BDT junctions, the energy difference between the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) and the $E_{\rm F}$ is considerably larger than that of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) or HOMO -1.50 The present photon energy, i.e., 1.6 eV (785 nm excitation), may induce CT from the HOMO to an unoccupied metal state (Figure 4a). Here, the MO with the largest contribution to the CT mechanism is referred to as the "SERSactive MO". It is generally accepted that the HOMO (or HOMO -1) is the dominant conduction orbital in the singlemolecule BDT junctions.^{26,51,52} Thus, we consider the dominant conduction MO to be the same as the SERS-active MO.

The polarizability of a single-molecule junction was evaluated according to a single level Anderson model by using a timedependent nonequilibrium Green's function (NEGF) approach.53 Figure 4b shows the calculated contour plot of intensity of the SERS signal (I_S) of a molecule junction as a function of the MO energy level and Γ . The blue dotted line at -1.2 eV corresponds to the MO energy in a single-molecule BDT junction taken from a theoretical prediction.⁵⁰ The cross section profile at -1.2 eV plotted in Figure 4c reveals a power law relationship between I_{S} and Γ , showing good agreement with our experimental results (Figure 3d). Furthermore, the theoretical profile shows a rapid decay of the I_s for Γ below 0.05 eV (Figure S13). This marked decay for small Γ accounts for the selective experimental observation of high conductance states in SERS, resulting from the strongly interacting bridge sites among the three possible configurations. Here, it is important to note that the molecule-metal coupling is significantly more sensitive to the adsorption site and conformational changes than the MO energy level. This streamlined model qualitatively fits the experimentally observed $I_{\rm S}-\Gamma$ relationship, showing an increased SERS signal for stronger molecule-metal couplings. However, this single-level model cannot fully explain the origin of the different slopes observed in Figure 3d for vibrational modes ν_1 and ν_{8a} . A reasonable explanation for the discrepancy in the $I_{\rm S}-\Gamma$ relationship between u_1 and u_{8a} vibrational modes is a multiorbital contribution to Is. For instance, if both the HOMO and HOMO - 1 contribute to CT, but the HOMO -1 is only strongly coupled to one of the electrodes, then electron transport across the junction will still take place

exclusively through the HOMO. In that case, a multilevel model has to be adopted for the CT, while the Γ values estimated from the I-V data will only reflect the HOMO contribution.

We have introduced a spectro-electric hybrid technique that unveils the bonding site configuration and electronic characterization of individual molecules interacting with metal electrodes at room temperature. The technique allows us to examine the select solo site among the molecules randomly configured in a nanoscale metallic junction. This is the first adsorption siteselective study, with the SERS signal being selectively enhanced for bridge sites. Site-sensitivity represents a crucial step toward the reliable integration of millions of molecular components into a working device. In addition, we found in situ experimental evidence between the molecule-metal interaction and SERS enhancement. It is generally difficult to disentangle the EM and CM contributions to SERS, and to evaluate the strength of the molecule-metal interaction in discussing the CM effect. Our newly developed hybrid technique provides Γ which is the strength of the molecule-metal interaction, and the correlation between the molecule-metal interaction and SERS enhancement, meaning the correlation between the electronic and optical properties. The single-molecule junction and the hybrid technique provide a unique platform for the disentanglement of the EM and CM enhancement mechanisms. These findings promote further understanding of the SERS enhancement mechanism and should contribute to the development of SERS technique.

METHODS

Nanofabrication of the MCBJ Substrates. The substrates used for the mechanically controllable break-junction (MCBJ) technique were prepared through a series of standard nanofabrication techniques. An insulating SiO₂ film (~500 nm) was deposited on the polished phosphor bronze substrate of thickness t = 0.5 mm by means of sputtering. The SiO₂ layer atop the phosphor-bronze substrate provides electrical insulation and limits the intensity of the Raman background scattering. Over the oxide, a polyimide film was deposited by means of spin-coating. The nanosized Au junctions, with the size of the narrowest constriction being \sim 150 nm \times 120 nm, were prepared atop the polyimide-coated substrate using electron-beam lithography and lift-off processing. Metallic layers of Cr and Au (3 nm/130 nm) were thermally evaporated onto the substrate. Subsequently, the polyimide underneath the Au junctions was removed by isotropic reactive ion etching using O2 plasma (80 W) resulting on a freestanding Au nanoelectrode. Typical span lengths of the junction are $\sim 2 \ \mu m$.

Single-Molecule Junction Preparation. The nanofabricated MCBJ substrate was mounted on a three-point bending mechanism, consisting of a stacked piezoelement (NEC tokin) and two fixed counter supports. Molecular junctions were prepared by depositing a drop of a 1,4-benzenedithiol (BDT) solution (1 mM in EtOH) onto the unbroken Au junction allowing molecular self-assembly on the Au surface. The Au nanoelectrode was stretched and eventually broken by gradually bending the substrate using a piezoelectric push-rod. With retraction of the push-rod, the substrate flexing is reduced, and the metallic contact can be re-established. For the simultaneous SERS and electrical measurements a self-breaking process was employed. In this case, after the initial rupture of the Au nanojunction, the metallic contact is re-established by retracting the push-rod until a conductance value of 3 G_0 is obtained. The push-rod is held in position, and the electrical and Raman signals are constantly monitored while the metallic contact is allowed to break spontaneously from thermal fluctuations and current-induced forces. This immobile substrate methodology is especially appropriate to perform reliable SERS measurements.

I–V and SERS Measurements. After self-assembly of the BDT molecules and solvent evaporation, *I–V* and SERS measurements were performed in air at room temperature. The electrical measurements were performed using a Keithley 428 programmable amplifier. Raman spectra were collected using a NanoFinder30 Raman microprobe spectrometer (Tokyo Instruments) employing 1 s integration time. A near-infrared laser (λ_{ex} = 785 nm, 70 mW) was used as excitation light. The laser beam was focused onto the Au nanojunction using an objective lens with 50× magnification and 0.95 numerical aperture. The estimated spot size of irradiation was about 1 μ m. Once the irradiation area is set-up, no further adjustments in the laser position are required during the self-breaking process.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b11559.

Descriptions of the experimental and calculation details (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*hs-nakamura@aist.go.jp

*TSUKAGOSHI.Kazuhito@nims.go.jp *kiguti@chem.titech.ac.jp

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank J. M. van Ruitenbeek for fruitful discussion. This work was financially supported by Grants-in-Aids for Scientific Research in Innovative Areas (26102013, 2511008) and Grantin-Aid for Scientific Research (A) (No. 21340074) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Asahi glass, and the Murata Foundation.

REFERENCES

- (1) Gonzales, E. B.; Kawate, T.; Gouaux, E. Nature 2009, 460, 599.
- (2) Davis, S. M.; Zaera, F.; Somorjai, G. A. J. Catal. 1984, 85, 206.
- (3) Chiodi, F.; Ferrier, M.; Tikhonov, K.; Virtanen, P.; Heikkila, T. T.; Feigelman, M.; Gueron, S.; Bouchiat, H. Sci. Rep. **2011**, *1*, 3.
- (4) Iwamoto, M.; Kwon, Y.-S.; Lee, T. Nanoscale Interface for Organic Electronics; World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.: Singapore, 2011.
- (5) Sun, H.; Wang, Q.; Li, Y.; Lin, Y. F.; Wang, Y.; Yin, Y.; Xu, Y.; Liu, C.; Tsukagoshi, K.; Pan, L.; Wang, X.; Hu, Z.; Shi, Y. Sci. Rep.
- **2014**, *4*, 7227. (6) Ishii, H.; Sugiyama, K.; Ito, E.; Seki, K. Adv. Mater. **1999**, *11*, 605.
- (7) Reineke, S.; Lindner, F.; Schwartz, G.; Seidler, N.; Walzer, K.; Lussem, B.; Leo, K. Nature 2009, 459, 234.
- (8) Kiguchi, M.; Kaneko, S. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2013, 15, 2253.
 (9) Li, X. L.; He, J.; Hihath, J.; Xu, B. Q.; Lindsay, S. M.; Tao, N. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2135.
- (10) Li, C.; Pobelov, I.; Wandlowski, T.; Bagrets, A.; Arnold, A.; Evers, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130, 318.
- (11) Cuevas, J. C.; Scheer, E. Molecular Electronics: An Introduction to Theory and Experiment; World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.: Singapore, 2010.
- (12) Ie, Y.; Hirose, T.; Nakamura, H.; Kiguchi, M.; Takagi, N.; Kawai, M.; Aso, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 3014.
- (13) Kaneko, S.; Nakazumi, T.; Kiguchi, M. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2010, 1, 3520.
- (14) Kiguchi, M.; Murakoshi, K. J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 8140.

- (15) Katano, S.; Kim, Y.; Hori, M.; Trenary, M.; Kawai, M. Science **2007**, *316*, 1883.
- (16) Chiang, C. L.; Xu, C.; Han, Z. M.; Ho, W. Science 2014, 344, 885.
- (17) Ohshiro, T.; Tsutsui, M.; Yokota, K.; Furuhashi, M.; Taniguchi, M.; Kawai, T. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2014**, *9*, 835.
- (18) Grill, L.; Rieder, K. H.; Moresco, F.; Rapenne, G.; Stojkovic, S.; Bouju, X.; Joachim, C. Nat. Nanotechnol. **2007**, *2*, 95.
- (19) Venkataraman, L.; Klare, J. E.; Nuckolls, C.; Hybertsen, M. S.; Steigerwald, M. L. *Nature* **2006**, *442*, 904.
- (20) Smit, R. H. M.; Noat, Y.; Untiedt, C.; Lang, N. D.; van Hemert, M. C.; van Ruitenbeek, J. M. *Nature* **2002**, *419*, 906.
- (21) Sedghi, G.; Garcia-Suarez, V. M.; Esdaile, L. J.; Anderson, H. L.; Lambert, C. J.; Martin, S.; Bethell, D.; Higgins, S. J.; Elliott, M.; Bennett, N.; Macdonald, J. E.; Nichols, R. J. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2011**, *6*, 517.
- (22) Tal, O.; Kiguchi, M.; Thijssen, W. H. A.; Djukic, D.; Untiedt, C.; Smit, R. H. M.; van Ruitenbeek, J. M. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2009**, *80*, 085427.
- (23) Diez-Perez, I.; Hihath, J.; Lee, Y.; Yu, L. P.; Adamska, L.; Kozhushner, M. A.; Oleynik, I. I.; Tao, N. J. Nat. Chem. **2009**, *1*, 635.
- (24) Kiguchi, M.; Ohto, T.; Fujii, S.; Sugiyasu, K.; Nakajima, S.; Takeuchi, M.; Nakamura, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 7327.
- (25) Fujii, S.; Tada, T.; Komoto, Y.; Osuga, T.; Murase, T.; Fujita, M.; Kiguchi, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2015**, 137, 5939.
- (26) Song, H.; Kim, Y.; Jang, Y. H.; Jeong, H.; Reed, M. A.; Lee, T. Nature 2009, 462, 1039.
- (27) Aviram, A.; Ratner, M. A. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1974, 29, 277.
- (28) Konishi, T.; Kiguchi, M.; Takase, M.; Nagasawa, F.; Nabika, H.; Ikeda, K.; Uosaki, K.; Ueno, K.; Misawa, H.; Murakoshi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 1009.
- (29) Kneipp, K.; Kneipp, H.; Itzkan, I.; Dasari, R. R.; Feld, M. S.
 Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 2957.
- (30) Marques-Gonzalez, S.; Matsushita, R.; Kiguchi, M. J. Opt. 2015, 17, 114001.
- (31) Ioffe, Z.; Shamai, T.; Ophir, A.; Noy, G.; Yutsis, I.; Kfir, K.; Cheshnovsky, O.; Selzer, Y. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2008, 3, 727.
- (32) Ward, D. R.; Corley, D. A.; Tour, J. M.; Natelson, D. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2011, 6, 33.
- (33) Liu, Z.; Ding, S. Y.; Chen, Z. B.; Wang, X.; Tian, J. H.; Anema, J. R.; Zhou, X. S.; Wu, D. Y.; Mao, B. W.; Xu, X.; Ren, B.; Tian, Z. Q. *Nat. Commun.* **2011**, *2*, 305.
- (34) Reed, M. A.; Zhou, C.; Muller, C. J.; Burgin, T. P.; Tour, J. M. Science **1997**, 278, 252.
- (35) Datta, S.; Tian, W. D.; Hong, S. H.; Reifenberger, R.; Henderson, J. I.; Kubiak, C. P. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1997**, *79*, 2530.
- (36) Matsuhita, R.; Horikawa, M.; Naitoh, Y.; Nakamura, H.; Kiguchi, M. J. Phys. Chem. C 2013, 117, 1791.
- (37) Kneipp, K.; Wang, Y.; Kneipp, H.; Perelman, L. T.; Itzkan, I.; Dasari, R. R.; Feld, M. S. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1997**, *78*, 1667.
- (38) Nie, S. M.; Emery, S. R. Science 1997, 275, 1102.
- (39) Le Ru, E. C.; Etchegoin, P. G. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 2012, 63, 65.
- (40) Dieringer, J. A.; Lettan, R. B.; Scheidt, K. A.; Van Duyne, R. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 16249.
- (41) Stranahan, S. M.; Willets, K. A. Nano Lett. 2010, 10, 3777.
- (42) Xiao, X. Y.; Xu, B. Q.; Tao, N. J. Nano Lett. 2004, 4, 267.
- (43) Agrait, N.; Yeyati, A. L.; van Ruitenbeek, J. M. Phys. Rep. 2003, 377, 81.
- (44) Kiguchi, M.; Konishi, T.; Murakoshi, K. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2005, 87, 043104.
- (45) Kiguchi, M.; Murakoshi, K. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2006, 88, 253112.
- (46) Nirmal, M.; Dabbousi, B. O.; Bawendi, M. G.; Macklin, J. J.; Trautman, J. K.; Harris, T. D.; Brus, L. E. Nature **1996**, 383, 802.
- (47) Nara, J.; Geng, W. T.; Kino, H.; Kobayashi, N.; Ohno, T. J. Chem. Phys. 2004, 121, 6485.
- (48) Nakamura, H.; Asai, Y.; Hihath, J.; Bruot, C.; Tao, N. J. J. Phys. Chem. C 2011, 115, 19931.
- (49) Lombardi, J. R.; Birke, R. L. J. Phys. Chem. C 2014, 118, 11120.

(50) Reddy, P.; Jang, S. Y.; Segalman, R. A.; Majumdar, A. Science 2007, 315, 1568. (51) Stokbro, K.; Taylor, J.; Brandbyge, M.; Mozos, J. L.; Ordejon, P.

- Comput. Mater. Sci. 2003, 27, 151.
- (52) Pontes, R. B.; Novaes, F. D.; Fazzio, A.; da Silva, A. J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8996.

(53) Haug, H. J. W.; Jauho, A.-P. Quantum Kinetics in Transport and Optics of Semiconductors, 2nd ed.; Springer: Berlin, 2007.